## MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 397 OF 2017

DIST.: BEED

Janardhan s/o Vithalrao Ghasing, Age. 48 years, Occ. Service (Now Nil), R/o Krushi Nagar, Shabdawel, Near Panchshil Nagar, Palwan Road, Beed, Taluka & District Beed.

APPLICANT

## VERSUS

- 1. The State of Maharashtra,
  Through the Principal Secretary,
  Higher and Technical Education
  Department, Mantralaya,
  Mumbai.
- The Director,
   Vocational Education and Training,
   Mahapalika Marg, Dhobi Talav,
   Mumbai.
- 3. The Deputy Director
  Vocational Education & Training,
  Divisional Office, Bhadkal Gate,
  Aurangabad.

RESPONDENTS

-----

APPEARANCE : Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned

Advocate for the applicant.

Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned

Presenting Officer for the respondents.

JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

DATE : 08.07.2019

------

## ORAL-ORDER

1. Heard both sides.

CORAM

- 2. By filing the present Original Application the applicant has prayed for issuance of directions to the respondents for reinstating the applicant in service and also for regularizing his services with continuity in service from the date of first appointment in regular/ prescribed pay scale and also grant permanency in service on the post of Craft Instructor (Wireman Trade) forthwith.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the present case is governed by the common judgment dated 27.4.2016 rendered by the principal seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai in O.A. No. 467/2015 (Shri Vinod Ramchandra Badekar Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.) along with 22 other Original Applications. The operative order of the said judgment is as follows:-
  - "11. All the Applicants in all O.As. and similarly selected persons will be considered for appointment on the regular vacancies, on the basis of their place in the merit list. To the extent the Applicants and others could be accommodated they will be accommodated. The remaining Applicants will be governed by G.R. dated 15.10.2015. Those who have been given interim relief will be allowed to continue to work till the decision on absorbing persons in the above terms is taken. This process should be completed within a period of 3 months from the date of this order. There shall be no order as to costs."

(quoted from page 32 of order of principal seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai in O.A. No. 467/2015 along with 22 other Original Applications)

O.A. NO. 397/19

4. It has transpired during the hearing that the judgment of the

principal seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai in O.A. no. 467/2015

(Shri Vinod Ramchandra Badekar Vs. the State of Maharashtra &

Ors.) and the batch decided on 27.4.2016 is squarely applicable in

the present case.

5. In view of above discussion, the present O.A. succeeds and

there shall be same order as quoted in foregoing para no. 3.

6. In the circumstances the parties are directed to bear their

own costs.

(A.H. JOSHI) CHAIRMAN

Place: Aurangabad Date: 08.07.2019

ARJ-O.A.NO. 397-2017 S.B (REINSTATEMENT AND REGULARIZATION)